Evaluation of the Accelerate pheno^M System versus current blood culture ID/AST & CCELERATE methods and potential impact on antimicrobial stewardship and patient management

Stephen P Kidd, Jasper Ellis, Simon Munro, Gemma Lockyer, Kordo Saeed, Matthew Dryden, Nick Cortes, Claire Thomas & Nicki Hutchinson Hampshire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Basingstoke & North Hampshire Hospital, Aldermaston Road, Basingstoke, RG24 9NA – stephen.kidd@hhft.nhs.uk

BACKGROUND/INTRODUCTION

The rise in antimicrobial resistance has prompted a call for rapid antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) strategies to be investigated, particularly those for bacteraemia¹. New advancements could significantly impact antimicrobial stewardship, patient management and outcomes². Timely AST results are critical to determining targeted treatment regimens for septic patients³. Our laboratory uses BSAC disc diffusion methods⁴ directly on positive blood cultures and identification (ID) confirmation and MIC determination via the bioMeriéux Vitek2[®]. These methods, despite being accurate, are relatively laborious and time consuming. A rapid alternative for septic patients is needed. We have completed an initial evaluation of the Accelerate PhenoTest[™] BC Kit on the novel Accelerate *pheno*[™] system. We compared the rapid pathogen ID and MIC-AST that the PhenoTest[™] produced via fluorescent *in situ* hybridisation and morphokinetic cellular analysis with our current standard of care (SoC). Gram negative (GN) infections were of particular interest due to greater unpredictability

of susceptibility patterns and as such, a more timely intervention compared to current SoC could potentially carry a significant impact on patient management.





Key performance indicators:

We hope to assess these data to see if it will help us meet two of the 2016/17 NHS England CQUIN⁵ goals: 1) ID and early treatment of Sepsis

DISCUSSION/CONCLUSIONS

In this limited evaluation, early ID and AST using the Accelerate PhenoTestTM BC Kit facilitated 5 escalations of treatment, 3 in vitro to oral antibiotic switches, 2 early infection prevention interventions and early cessation of broad-spectrum antibiotic (Tazocin) in some cases which represents a start to meeting appropriate CQUIN targets. Rapid pathogen AST was particularly useful for patients infected with GN organisms, with less predictable antibiograms, where optimal therapy differed from our NHS Trust's empirical sepsis treatment regime of amoxicillin and gentamicin. This allowed for potential optimisation of antimicrobial therapy at 7 hours versus 24 hours, but due to the antibiotic panel on the PhenoTestTM BC Kit being US-focused (Table 2), at this time, we could not fully explore this as comparisons with SoC MICs were not comprehensive, especially when encountering ESßLs. The Accelerate pheno[™] system within our NHS Trust has potential to seamlessly augment our SoC and allow for early targeted management of patients with sepsis. Considering the throughput of the Accelerate phenoTM system and additional investment requirements we would target only those septic patients where a rapid ID and phenotypic AST result would have the greatest potential impact on patient care. The Accelerate phenoTM system represents an exciting development to facilitate responsive directed antimicrobial therapy to improve patient outcomes, especially in light of increasingly unpredictable resistance patterns that are being encountered more frequently clinically. A second phase of the trial to assess its use in 24/7 clinical practice begins May 2017 with funding in place for a cohort of 30 patients.

METHODS

The decision to run the Accelerate PhenoTest[™] BC assay was at the discretion of the consultant microbiologist once predefined criteria had been met; of a clinically septic patient in the emergency department or intensive care unit with an organism visualised on Gram stain. 53 eligible samples from 51 unique patients were tested.

Positive BC was added to sample vial and inserted into reagent cartridge.

• Time to Accelerate PhenoTest[™] BC pathogen ID and MIC-AST v current SoC

• Subsequent impact on patient care:

- In vitro to oral antibiotic switch
- Reduction of the use of meropenem and piperacillintazobactam (Tazocin)
- Infection prevention/control (IPC) interventions



The reagent cartridge was loaded and START engaged.



The cassette was loaded and locked in place.

- 2) Antimicrobial resistance





Hampshire Hospitals **NHS Foundation Trust**

RESULTS

The Accelerate PhenoTest[™] BC kit ID produced a sensitivity of **90.6%** and a specificity of **99.8%** (Table 1) within **1 hour 25 minutes** vs SoC at **12-24 hours**. The **34** runs eligible for AST analysis showed **97.0%** essential agreement (EA) and 96.3% categorical agreement (CA) (Table 2) compared to SoC. MIC results were reported within **7 hours** of a positive BC on the PhenoTest[™] vs SoC AST at 24 hours and MIC at 48 hours.

In **53** runs the Accelerate Pheno[™] system showed only **3** system failures and **50** valid results. Antibiotic susceptibility by MIC was not reported in only 3/39 AST eligible runs (1 analysis failure, 1 mechanical failure, 1 with too many clones in the growth channel). **2** SoC runs had incomplete data as they were fully sensitive organisms, no further value would have been gained from Vitek2® analysis.

ID Results	SN		SP		AST								
Gram-Positives					Results	EA		CA		VMD	MAJ	MIN	
CoN Sta spp.	Sta spp. 4/4 100% 47/47 100%					Gram-Positives							
EntEM	1/1	100%	/0//0	100%	AMP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	2/2	10070	49/49	10070	CFT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
EntFS	<i>LI L</i>	100%	48/48	100%	DAP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
StrAG			50/50	100%	DOX	-		5/6		-	-	1	
StaAU	8/9	89%	41/41	100%	ERY	1/2		6/6		-	-	-	
StaLU			50/50	100%	FOX	NA		<u> </u>		-	1	-	
Str spp.	2/2	100%	48/49	98%	MLSB	NA		5/6		-	- 1	_	
Gram-Negatives					SXT	-		1/1		_	-	_	
ΔςΒΔ	Oran		50/50	100%	VAN	-		2/2		-	-	-	
			50/50	10070	Gram-Negatives								
CII spp.			50/50	100%	AMK	18/19	-	21/21	-	-	-	-	
ECO	18/21	86%	29/29	100%	ATM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ENTB spp.	0/1	0%	49/49	100%	CAZ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
KLEB spp.	10/10	100%	40/40	100%	CFZ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
PYO	1/1	100%	49/49	100%		19/19	-	27/27	-	-	-	-	
PRO spp.			50/50	100%	CST	10/10	-	1/1	-	-		-	
SMARC	2/2	100%	48/48	100%	ETP	18/18	-	19/19	-	-	-	-	
Vest					FEP	18/18	-	16/19	-	-	-	3	
				1000/	GEN	18/18	-	26/26	-	-	-	-	
CALB			50/50	100%	MEM	16/19	-	26/27	-	-	-	1	
CGLA			49/50	98%	SAM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total	48/53	90.6%	849/851	99.8%	TOB	16/17	-	16/17	-	-	1	-	
					TZP	17/17	-	25/25	-	-	-	-	
-					🔨 Total	159/164	97.0%	235/244	96.3%	-	3	6	

REFERENCES

1. The Review on Antimicrobial Resistance, Lord Jim O'Neil, May 2016 - https://amr-review.org

2. Impact of Inadequate Empirical Therapy on the Mortality of Patients with Bloodstream Infections, Retamar et al, Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2012.

3. Impact of Rapid Organism Identification via MALDI-ToF Combined with Antimicrobial Stewardship Team Intervention in Adult Patients with Bactereamia, Huang et al, Clin infect Dis 2013.

4. British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy AST Guidelines – <u>www.bsac.org.uk</u>

5. Commissioning for Quality and Innovation (CQUIN), Department of Health, Guidance 2016/17